



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER  
OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

MAILED

WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN,  
GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP  
Ten Post Office Square  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

AUG 17 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

In re Application of :  
Laird et al. :  
Serial No. 10/661739 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Filed: September 12, 2003 :  
For: TRAFFIC VIOLATION DETECTION:  
AT AN INTERSECTION  
EMPLOYING A VIRTUAL  
VIOLATION LINE

This is a decision on petition filed May, 3, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.48(c). Petitioner requests the inventorship be corrected. Petitioner alleges unintentional omission of three inventors.

The petition is GRANTED.

37 CFR 1.48(c) requires -

*Nonprovisional application -inventors added for claims to previously unclaimed subject matter. If a nonprovisional application discloses unclaimed subject matter by an inventor or inventors not named in the application, the application may be amended to add claims to the subject matter and name the correct inventors for the application. If the application is involved in an interference, the amendment must comply with the requirements of this section and must be accompanied by a motion under § 1.634. Amendment of the inventorship requires:*

- (1) A request to correct the inventorship that sets forth the desired inventorship change;
- (2) A statement from each person being added as an inventor that the addition is necessitated by amendment of the claims and that the inventorship error occurred without deceptive intention on his or her part;
- (3) An oath or declaration by the actual inventors as required by § 1.63 or as permitted by §§ 1.42, 1.43, or § 1.47;
- (4) The processing fee set forth in § 1.17(i); and
- (5) If an assignment has been executed by any of the original named inventors, the written consent of the assignee (see § 3.73(b) of this chapter).

The petitioner provides (1) a request, (2) a statement from each inventor to be added, (3) a new oath/declaration executed by all actual inventors, (4) the processing fee, and (5) the consent of the assignee, as required.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application will be for correction of the inventorship. The inventorship will then be -

Laird, Mark; Glier, Michael; Tinnemeier, Michael; Small, Steven;  
Sybel, Randall; and Reilly, Douglas.



Kevin Teska  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2100